

Pricing Securities When Markets Are Incomplete Via OLG Modeling With Aggregate Risk

Jasmina Hasanhodzic

Babson College

Laurence Kotlikoff

Boston University

Long-Standing Question

Major Answer to Date -

Arbitrage Pricing

Arbitrage Pricing

- Find Marketed Assets Whose Returns Span Return on Unpriced Security
- Price Unpriced Security Based on Prices of Spanning Marketed Assets

Concerns With APT

- Finding Market Assets that Span Unpriced Asset X May Be Very Difficult
- Arbitrary Assumptions About Process Relating Return on X to Return on Market Assets
- Implementation Difficulty When X's Return Depends on Multiple Lagged Returns to Market Assets
- Non-Linearity In Return Process

GE-Based Asset Pricing

- Permits Determining the Value of All Securities
- No Need To Find Spanning Assets
- Pricing of X Based On Compensating Differentials in Expected Remaining Lifetime Utility
- Pricing Based on **Simple Question**: How Much Would Agent Give Up Today for Claim to Future X ?

GE-Based Asset Pricing For Unborn

- Future Generations Can Be Modeled As Alive, But With No Utility Until They Appear
- Symmetric Treatment With Living Generations Who Have No Utility Once They Disappear
- Related **Simple Question**. How Much Would Future Generations Pay When They Appear to Receive X?

Life-Cycle Framework

- Features Naturally Incomplete Markets
- Can Now Easily Be Simulated With Aggregate Shocks and Multiple Periods
- Unborn Can't Implicitly Trade Through Their Living Relatives

Our Model

- 10-period Overlapping Generations Model
- Isoelastic Preferences, Risk Aversion of 2
- Consumption and Portfolio Allocation Choices
- Inelastic Labor Supply, Retirement at Age 8
- Cobb-Douglas Production, Capital's Share is 1/3
- Shocks: AR1 TFP shock, Z_t , and Depreciation
- $\ln(Z_{t+1}) = \rho \ln(Z_t) + \epsilon_{t+1}$, where $\epsilon_{t+1} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- One Period Safe Bond Market

Calibration

- TFP Calibrated As In Hansen (85), Prescott (86),...
- Depreciation Shocks Calibrated To Reproduce the Variability of the Return on U.S. Aggregate Wealth

	Mean Return to Capital (%)	S.D. of Return to Capital (%)
	Model	
No Social Security	5.311	4.628
Social Security	7.671	4.211
	Return to U.S. Wealth Data	
	6.512	4.886

Household Expected Utility Maximization

$$\max E_t[u(c_{1,t}) + \beta u(c_{2,t+1}) + \dots + \beta^9 u(c_{10,t+9})]$$

s.t.

$$c_{a,t} = L_a w_t + [\alpha_{a-1,t-1} \times (1 + \bar{r}_{t-1}) + (1 - \alpha_{a-1,t-1}) \times (1 + \tilde{r}_t)] A_{a-1,t-1} - A_{a,t}$$

where

$c_{a,t}$ is consumption by agent age a at time t and

$\alpha_{a,t}$ is the share of assets allocated to **bonds** at time t

\tilde{r}_t is the **return to capital**, \bar{r}_t is the **risk-free rate**

Solution Method

- Hasanhodzic and Kotlikoff (2013, revised 2017)
Solve 80-Period OLG Model with Aggregate Risk
- Based off Marcet (1988) and Judd, Maliar, & Maliar (2009, 2011)
- Trick is to Consider States in Ergodic Space
- Draw path of shocks, guess decision functions as polynomials of state vector, run economy forward, update polynomials, continue till convergence

Valuing Unpriced Securities for the Living

$$\begin{aligned} EU_{a,t} &= u(c_{a,t} - m) + \beta E_t[u(c_{a+1,t+1})] + \dots \\ &+ \beta^\tau E_t[u(c_{a+\tau,t+\tau}) + \tilde{\epsilon}_{t+\tau} \times \bar{X}_{t+\tau}] + \dots \\ &+ \beta^{10-a} E_{t+a}[u(c_{10,t+10-a})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dm}{d\bar{X}_{t+\tau}} = \beta^\tau \frac{E_t[u'(c_{a+\tau,t+\tau}) \times \tilde{\epsilon}_{t+\tau}]}{u'(c_{a,t})}$$

If $\tilde{\epsilon}_{t+\tau} = 1 \forall \tau$, X is a **safe** asset, otherwise it's **risky**

Example – Pricing a Safe Asset for the Living

Initial State: **Low** Risk-Free Rate, **High** Return on Capital

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.043	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.047	0.047	0.048	0.048	0.049
	2	0.043	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.047	0.048	0.048	0.049	
	3	0.043	0.045	0.046	0.046	0.047	0.048	0.048		
	4	0.043	0.044	0.046	0.046	0.047	0.048			
	5	0.043	0.045	0.046	0.047	0.047				
	6	0.043	0.045	0.046	0.046					
	7	0.041	0.044	0.045						
	8	0.041	0.043							
	9	0.041								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.041				0.081				
Average		0.047				0.053				

Example – Pricing a Safe Asset for the Living

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.069	0.067	0.066	0.064	0.063	0.062	0.061	0.060	0.059
	2	0.069	0.067	0.066	0.064	0.063	0.061	0.060	0.059	
	3	0.069	0.067	0.065	0.064	0.062	0.061	0.060		
	4	0.072	0.070	0.068	0.066	0.065	0.063			
	5	0.067	0.065	0.064	0.062	0.061				
	6	0.070	0.068	0.067	0.065					
	7	0.070	0.068	0.067						
	8	0.071	0.070							
	9	0.072								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.071				0.026				
Average		0.047				0.053				

Example – Pricing a Safe Asset for the Living

Initial State: **Low** Risk-Free Rate, **High** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.050	0.053	0.056	0.058	0.060	0.061	0.063	0.064	0.065
	2	0.049	0.052	0.055	0.057	0.060	0.061	0.063	0.064	
	3	0.051	0.053	0.056	0.058	0.061	0.062	0.064		
	4	0.048	0.052	0.055	0.057	0.060	0.062			
	5	0.049	0.052	0.055	0.058	0.060				
	6	0.050	0.053	0.056	0.058					
	7	0.050	0.053	0.056						
	8	0.051	0.054							
	9	0.049								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.050				0.108				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Example – Pricing a Safe Asset for the Living

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.087	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082
	2	0.087	0.086	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	
	3	0.085	0.084	0.084	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.080		
	4	0.087	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.085	0.084			
	5	0.088	0.087	0.087	0.086	0.085				
	6	0.088	0.087	0.087	0.086					
	7	0.089	0.088	0.088						
	8	0.088	0.088							
	9	0.087								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.087				0.041				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Pricing With Safe vs. Risky Assets

- Difference between prices of safe and risky assets:

$$\frac{E[u'(c_{t+\tau}) \times \epsilon_{t+\tau}]}{u'(c_t)} = \frac{E[u'(c_{t+\tau})]}{u'(c_t)} + \frac{\text{Cov}(u'(c_{t+\tau}), \epsilon_{t+\tau})}{u'(c_t)}$$

where $E[\epsilon_{t+\tau}] = 1$

Example – Pricing a Risky Asset for the Living

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.088	0.088	0.087	0.086	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.083
	2	0.087	0.088	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.083	
	3	0.085	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082		
	4	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.087	0.086	0.085			
	5	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.087	0.086				
	6	0.088	0.089	0.088	0.087					
	7	0.089	0.089	0.089						
	8	0.088	0.089							
	9	0.087								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.087				0.041				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Example – Pricing a Risky Asset for the Living

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

Higher Payoff Volatility

		Periods Till Payoff Received								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	1	0.091	0.101	0.102	0.101	0.100	0.098	0.095	0.093	0.092
	2	0.090	0.100	0.102	0.101	0.099	0.097	0.095	0.093	
	3	0.088	0.099	0.101	0.100	0.099	0.097	0.095		
	4	0.090	0.101	0.102	0.100	0.099	0.097			
	5	0.090	0.101	0.101	0.100	0.099				
	6	0.090	0.100	0.101	0.100					
	7	0.090	0.101	0.102						
	8	0.090	0.100							
	9	0.089								
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.087				0.041				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Valuing Unpriced Securities for the Unborn

$$\begin{aligned} EU_{-a,t} &= \beta^a E_{t+a} [u(c_{1,t+a} - m \prod_{i=t+1}^{t+a} (1 + s_i))] \\ &+ \beta^{a+1} E_{t+a} [u(c_{2,t+a+1})] + \dots \\ &+ \beta^\tau E_{t+a} [u(c_{\tau-a,t+\tau}) + \tilde{\epsilon}_{t+\tau} \times \bar{X}_{t+\tau}] + \dots \\ &+ \beta^{a+9} E_{t+a} [u(c_{10,t+a+9})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dm}{d\bar{X}_{t+\tau}} = \beta^{\tau-a} \frac{E_{t+a} [u'(c_{\tau-a,t+\tau}) \times \tilde{\epsilon}_{t+\tau}]}{E_{t+a} [u'(c_{1,t+a}) \prod_{i=t+1}^{t+a} (1 + s_i)]}$$

$s_t = \bar{r}_t$ or \tilde{r}_t (safe or risky compensation)

Example – Pricing a Risky Asset for the Unborn

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

Risky Method of Compensation

		Age at the Receipt of the Payoff								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	-1	0.089	0.088	0.086	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082
	-2	0.089	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081
	-3	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081
	-4	0.087	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.083	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.081
	-5	0.086	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.080
					...					
	-18	0.080	0.080	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.078	0.078
	-19	0.080	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.078	0.078
	-20	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.078	0.078	0.078
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.087				0.041				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Example – Pricing a Risky Asset for the Unborn

Initial State: **High** Risk-Free Rate, **Low** Return on Capital

Model with Social Security

Safe Method of Compensation

		Age at the Receipt of the Payoff								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Current Age	-1	0.087	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081
	-2	0.086	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.081
	-3	0.085	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.080	0.080
	-4	0.084	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.080	0.080	0.080
	-5	0.083	0.082	0.082	0.081	0.081	0.080	0.080	0.079	0.079
						...				
	-18	0.077	0.077	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076
	-19	0.077	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076
	-20	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.076
		Risk-Free Rate				Return on Capital				
Current		0.087				0.041				
Average		0.069				0.077				

Conclusion

- Near-term safe assets valued at prevailing safe rate
- Long-term safe assets tend to be valued at prevailing mean safe rate
- “Risky assets”, e.g., Social Security benefits, may not be as risky as presupposed
- Valuing unpriced securities for future generations depends on method of compensation

Implications for Fiscal Sustainability Accounting

- Realized Fiscal Gap Equals Zero Along Each Economic Path
- Expected Value of Realized Fiscal Gaps Equals Zero
- With Uncertainty Form the Expected Fiscal Gap
- Fiscal Gap Is a Partial Equilibrium Measure
- Best to Specify Process By Which Fiscal Gaps Are Resolved and Simulate in GE the Range of Outcomes Facing Future Generations
- This is Modern Generational Accounting

Implications for Fiscal Sustainability Accounting

- Literature On Valuing Uncertain Social Security Focused On Closed Group Liability
- Our Findings Support Blocker, et. al. (08) Over Geanakopolos and Zeldes (10)
- Given Arbitrary Nature of Benefit Valuation For Unborn, Open Group Liability Does Not Appear To Be Uniquely Defined
- No Clear Relationship Between Expected Fiscal Gap and Open Group Liability

Thank You!